



IFW

CERTIFICATE OF FIRST CLASS MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.8

Atty. Docket No.: LU05013USU (Taylor-Smith 14)

Applicant: Taylor-Smith

Title: BRIDGED POLYSESQUIOXANE HOST MATRICES CONTAINING
LANTHANIDES CHELATED BY ORGANIC GUEST LIGANDS, AND METHODS
OF MAKING SUCH MATRICES

Date of Deposit: August 15, 2008

Serial No.: 10/606,690

Filing Date: June 26, 2003

Type of Documents: Comments on Examiner's Amendment and on
Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance
Return Receipt Postcard

I hereby certify that the documents identified above are being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Issue Fee, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on August 15, 2008.

Jay M. Brown

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Jay M. Brown". It is written in a cursive style with a prominent, sweeping initial 'J'.

Taylor-Smith 14
LU05013USU

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants: Taylor-Smith

Serial No.: 10/606,690

Filed: June 26, 2003

For: BRIDGED POLYSESQUIOXANE HOST MATRICES CONTAINING
LANTHANIDES CHELATED BY ORGANIC GUEST LIGANDS, AND METHODS OF
MAKING SUCH MATRICES

Group: 1796

Confirmation: 5368

Examiner: Robert S. Loewe

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:
Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date set forth below:

Signed: 

Name: Jay M. Brown

Date: August 15, 2008

August 15, 2008

Mail Stop Issue Fee
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Comments on Examiner's Amendment and on
Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance

Sir:

Applicant accepts and confirms the Examiner's Amendment stated at the top of page 2 of
the Notice of Allowability mailed on June 2, 2008.

The following comments are made with respect to the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance in the Notice of Allowability. As stated by the MPEP in Section 1302.14, « [w]here specific reasons are recorded by the examiner, care must be taken to ensure that statements of reasons for allowance...do not place unwarranted interpretations, whether broad or narrow, upon the claims. » Further, the « statement is not intended to necessarily state all the reasons for allowance or all the details why claims are allowed and should not be written to specifically or impliedly state that all of the reasons for allowance are set forth. »

Under 35 U.S.C. § 103, it is mandated that claims be considered as a whole. When considered as a whole, it will be seen that the Examiner has appropriately focused upon particular reasons for allowance and not all the reasons for allowance. While in light of Section 1302.14, applicants do not believe that the Examiner's statement can or should be misconstrued as being intended to identify the sole reasons for allowance, applicants do not acquiesce in such a conclusion as there are multiple reasons for allowance of all of the claims. The reasons addressed are clearly exemplary and not exhaustive.

Respectfully submitted,


Jay M. Brown *rule 34*
Reg. No. 30,033
THE LAW OFFICE OF JAY M. BROWN
6409 Fayetteville Rd., Ste. 120-306
Durham, North Carolina 27713
(919) 522-0312

Customer No.: 51029